How Reality TV Demands the Body.

Eliciting and Exploiting Emotions in the Staging of Self-Overcoming in Germany's Next Top Model

Daniel Klug¹, Axel Schmidt²

¹ Seminar for Media Studies, University of Basel, 4051 Basel, Switzerland; Daniel.Klug@unibas.ch

² Institute for the German Language, 68161 Mannheim, Germany; Axel.Schmidt@ids-mannheim.de

Abstract

In reality TV, the eliciting of emotions and physical expressions and displays is a key strategy to

authenticate represented behavior. We follow a multimodal approach to analyze the eliciting of

participants' body reactions and the exploiting of provoked emotions in the German reality show

Germany's Next Top Model. Here, controllable and staged working situations, such as a catwalk in

high altitude, become a mental or physical challenge for the contestants. These challenges trigger

uncontrollable affective momentum as the participants must overcome their physical and mental

resistance to master the task. We analyze how physical representations are elicited, exploited and

applied stage believable images of self-overcoming. The real challenge for the contestant is to regain

control of her body and to overcome her fear. Within the staging of authenticity, this illustrates that

and how bodily expressions are used to verify mediated events regarding a "claim to 'the real". The

success of the contestants in Germany's Next Topmodel and the appeal of the show both highly

depend on whether and how the representation of the evoked self-overcoming appears as credible.

To achieve this, the proper staging of elicited emotions and corresponding bodily displays is crucial.

Key words

Reality TV, self-overcoming, claim to the real, bodily displays, eliciting emotions, multimodal

approach

1

Introduction

As a subject of academic research, reality TV has been discussed regarding realness (Ouellette & Murray, 2009), authenticity (Andrejevic, 2004), and staging (Hill, 2005) since day one. This, to some extent, results in rather paradoxical labellings, such as "Staging the Real" (Kilborn, 2003), "Performing the Real" (Corner, 2002), or "Producing "Reality"" (Hearn, 2014) which, however, illustrate the genre's most significant feature. Reality TV is generally characterized by the everpresent tension between its documentary claim and the obviously staged nature of presented events. Yet, to distinguish reality TV from mere fictional programs, taking a pragmatic claim to the real appears to be useful. In their introduction to *Understanding Reality Television* (2004), Jermyn & Holmes propose "(...) that what unites the range of programming conceivably described as "Reality TV" is primarily its discursive, visual and technological claim to 'the real' (...), the open and explicit sale of television programming as a representation of the real" (p. 5).

Given the fact that reality TV is pursuing a claim to show reality, there is vice versa a need to confirm said claim. This is, in general, achieved by different forms of authentication (Andrejevic, 2004; Hill, 2005; Kavka, 2014; Rasmussen, 2000) and eliciting emotions and corresponding physical expressions and displays, such as tears (Grindstaff, 2002; Dubrofsky, 2009), is a universal and effective practice for doing so. Symptoms of emotional states, for example, facial expressions, bodily postures, or bodily fluids, may function as markers of authenticity to represent physical and mental involvement of a person. These symptoms are, according to our everyday understanding, harder to produce on purpose and thus regarded as indications of a 'true performance' (Goffman, 1959).

So, to elicit emotional displays, reality TV producers, especially directors on set, create and stage stressful situations which for the participants of a show are generally difficult to master and therefore lead to strong and uncontrollable emotions. Within those staged situations, combining

carefully staged situational frameworks apt to elicit emotions with rather open interactions¹ solves the tension between documentary claim and pervasive staging.

In the following, using a multimodal extended conversation analysis approach (Deppermann, 2013; Mondada, 2008; Streeck, Goodwin & LeBaron, 2011), we analyze the eliciting of participants' body reactions, and the exploiting of provoked emotions in interactions of the German reality show *Germany's Next Top Model* (ProSieben, 2006–) (in the following: *GNTM*). We focus on the televisual product and the reconstruction of implied readings in sequential analyses of interactions to exemplify how elicited emotions are framed and represented. We do not consider production or perception processes though they provide further useful analytical perspectives.

One general strategy in *GNTM* is to stage situations, such as a catwalk in high altitude or a photo shoot with snakes, which intend to be a challenge for the candidates. The narrative concept of *GNTM* expects contestants to be able to cope with the issues evoked by the staged situation while being framed as a professional working situation within the model business. They are forced to overcome their physical and mental resistance to master the given task. In this way, successful *self-overcoming* is regarded as a key aspect to acquire as well as to demonstrate professionalism and is therefore highly valued within the show. This arrangement creates a dilemma for the contestants: If they refuse the given task, they will appear unprofessional; however, if they are willing to take the challenge, they must overcome their physical and mental resistance.

Overall, the success of the contestants in *GNTM*, as well as the appeal of the show in general, greatly depends on whether and how the representation of the evoked self-overcoming appears to be credible. To achieve this, the proper staging of emotions and bodily displays is crucial because the body and related affective reactions usually imply authenticity. Our main research question, therefore, is: How are bodily expressions and physical representations elicited, exploited and applied to stage believable images of self-overcoming? We are analyzing two scenes from different seasons

3

¹ For example, the fact of a missing dialogue script is an indicator for openness in interactions. Therefore, speech is usually produced spontaneously in own words. Following Goffman (1981a), talking people are animators, authors and principals of their spoken words. In a theatrical sense, the way participants react may be aimed or expected but not preplanned. Spontaneous talk and the basic contingency of events both fundamentally distinguish reality TV formats from fictional ones.

of *GNTM* to present one successful and one failed attempt. All of the depicted narratives of self-overcoming include *key events* of emotional display (for example, outburst of tears, screams of fear) and different forms of *verbal framing* (for example, voice over, comments of the contestant or other persons) of the staged situation which define its meaning. As examples, the two case studies focus on significant individual aspects in the staging of the overall event.

Case Study I: High-altitude Catwalk

In our first example, taken from *GNTM* season 6 in 2011, contestant Anna-Lena (AL) has to perform a high-altitude catwalk. In this scene, the key events are the bodily display of fear as well as the displayed efforts to overcome fear. Anna-Lena's attempts and her struggle in fulfilling the task are key aspects of representation which are in detail presented in her physical expressions and behavior. At the beginning of this scene, Anna-Lena is in starting position for her catwalk. Here, her strained face and her heavy breathing and gulping indicate fear. She sways her arms back and forth trying to regain her motor skills as she hesitates to move closer to the edge. With a close-up of her facial expressions, the focus changes from the actual catwalk to visual evidence of her inner fight of mentally wanting but physically not being able to step on the catwalk (Fig. 1). In addition, after being instructed by the choreographer (CO) to start the task, her verbal conduct provides yet another account for the initially visually displayed state. Excerpt 1 shows in which way these aspects reinforce the impression of struggling with the task².

-

² We illustrate both cases, *catwalk* and *balloon*, using excerpts of the full-scene transcriptions. The *catwalk* scene takes 3.30 minutes consisting of 62 shots, the *balloon* scene takes 2.40 minutes with a total of 40 shots. The transcriptions follow the German GAT 2 convention (Selting et al., 2011) for transcribing verbal parts. Aspects of embodied conduct are transcribed according to multimodal conventions by Mondada (2014). For transcribing audiovisual products and modes of staging (for example, camera angle, music) we followed Keppler (2006). The first column of each transcript indicates the number of the shot and its duration and time interval. Each new line indicates a new and chronologically numbered shot (*shot 1, 2, etc.*). The second column of the transcript specifies and aligns the verbal and the embodied conduct using special characters (*, %, & etc.). Each verbal unit is given a line number (*line 01, 02, etc.*). The third column indicates the activities of staging and the rough content of the images. The fourth column represents sound, music and/or noise. A full record of used conventions and abbreviations can be found in the appendix of the article.



Fig. 1: strained face and loss of balance

Excerpt 1: I can't do that

Shot/ Duration	Verl	oal and	embodied conduct	Image content and televisual staging	Sound
06 2,64 Sec 00:11:40- 00:14:04	12 13 14	al AL	*(.) EIne noch- One more (0.3) LAuf; go *strained face> =oh ich KANN das nich mann;* oh I can't do that	Medium close up/ center of image: AL's face	Music: tense, dramatic
07 2,8 Sec 00:14:04- 00:16:84	16	AL	<pre><<weinerlich> das GEHT ni::ch;> <<tearful>> that's not possible JA:::,> yes></tearful></weinerlich></pre>	Long shot, high-angle framing, view from skyscraper down to the ground; Special effects: moving and blurry image,	Music: tense, dramatic
08 2,6 Sec 00:16:84- 00:19:44	18	al	*(2.6) *holds hand against forehead, strained face, eyebrows together	Medium close up/ AL left side of image	Music: tense, dramatic
09 1,72 Sec 00:19:44- 00:21:16	19	ml	+(1.7) +worried face	Close-up, center of image: ML's face	Music: tense, dramatic
3,12 Sec 00:21:16- 00:24:28	20 21 22	AL	<pre>*<<tearful> °hh hh° *weint (0.5) ich KANN das ni::ch*,> I can't do that</tearful></pre>	Medium close up/ center of image: AL, walks backwards, camera follows by slightly panning	Music: tense, dramatic
2,16 Sec 00:24:28- 00:26:44	23	AL ml	+< <tearful> m m:::?> +worried face</tearful>	Close-up, center of image: ML's face, begins to look in the direction of AL, camera follows her glance by slightly panning	Music: tense, dramatic

The choreographer gives the signal to start (line 12–14) by demanding or even pressuring Anna-Lena to continue another or one last time ("one more... go"). However, she does not start to walk, therefore she does not perform as expected, but instead produces a response cry (Goffman, 1981b) ("oh", line 15) followed by several verbal accounts ("I can't do that", lines 15, 16, 22) expressing her inability to master the task. Vocal displays of fear, such as a whiny voice, response

cries, or sighing, as well as gestural (putting her hand against her forehead) and physical displays (heavy breathing, distressed facial expression, tears) pervade her verbal and vocal conduct. Anna-Lena furthermore expands the argumentative foundation for her failure by shifting her accounts from a personal inability ("I can't do that", line 15) to the external quality of the task itself ("that's not possible", line 16). Here, the staging of her attempts to cope with the challenge follows a repetitive pattern: Her concentration on managing the task and visible efforts of starting to walk, such as her straight look, her body tension, and her minimal forward movements (Fig. 2), switch to immediate aborts of the task. Anna-Lena closes her eyes, lowers her head, and loses her body tension and focus (Fig. 3, 4). These physical actions illustrate how the self-dynamic of the body counteracts the intended action impulse to start walking and how her initial concentration turns into resignation.







Fig. 2: concentration

Fig. 3/4: loss of concentration

Throughout the scene, visual depictions of significant bodily reactions serve to authenticate the verbal claims of being afraid and desperate. We can 'see' Anna-Lena's struggling and suffering because she believably delivers a cluster of symptomatologically readable expressions (Fig. 5–7). However, Anna-Lena's visible fight against her physical symptoms and emotions between self-control and losing control of herself is the primary focus of staging. While she stays focused on the task, the staging highlights her attempts to regain control. Many of her gestures indicate that she is trying to hide her current mental and physical state. For example, she tries to suppress her crying with an eager face (Fig. 8), she covers her face (Fig. 9), or she tries to control her breathing (Fig. 10). As another side effect of physical tension, her verbal expressions shift from reasoning with herself to whining, moaning, and to a pressed high-pitched voice, all combined with apparent heavy breathing.







Fig. 5-7: crying, desperation, worrying







Fig. 8-10: suppressed crying, covering face, breathing through pursed lips

Following the dramaturgical concept of *GNTM*, the show (or rather the production) frames and as well adds numerous meanings to the presentation of Anna-Lena's internal fight. Anna-Lena's catwalk scene initially starts with the *voice-over* commentary "in about sixty meters Anna-Lena's nerves are raw." This adds information and specifies visually inaccessible and ambiguous aspects, such as the exact height and the inner state of the contestant. The commentary as well serves as an opener for a story about struggling and self-overcoming. Moreover, the scene alternates between representations of Anna-Lena's struggle and *other people* taking specific stances towards it. The other contestants either appear as co-present participants within the actual situation or in montaged shots, filmed after the catwalk, to give their impressions of this past situation.

Therefore, several other people are involved in Anna-Lena's struggle to master her catwalk challenge as they (verbally) interact with her in different ways. First, the *choreographer*, who is not visible, imperatively signals Anna-Lena to start the walk ("one more," extract 1, line 12). In this situation, his request represents the institutional enforcement because for Anna-Lena not moving would mean failing and refusing to work. Her statements, which, as mentioned above, can be understood as accounts, illustrate that she feels committed to follow the choreographer's request. Second, *co-present other contestants* are advising Anna-Lena while she is struggling to fulfill the task.

Shot/	Verb	al and	embodied conduct	Image content and televisual	Sound
Duration				staging	
02	02		(1.6)	Long shot, two of the judges	barely audible
2,04 Sec	03	AL	hh° °hh hh° °hh	(TR, TH) in the center of the	music
00:04:04-	04	L	guck nach	image, sitting on a couch on a	
00:06:08			look to	roof top, watching AL	
03		L	[vorn un STOPP nich;]	Medium long shot, three other	barely audible
2,08 Sec			the front and don't stop	contestants in the center of the	music
00:06:08-	05	ML	<pre>[((incomprehensible, 1.8 sec))]</pre>	image, shown sideways from the	
00:08:16	06	L	LAUF einfach;	front, standing on the roof top,	
			just walk	shouting and gesturing in the	
	07	L	TU es-]	direction of AL	
			do it		
04	08	L	und SCHNELL;	Long shot, AL in the center,	barely audible
1,76 Sec			and fast	standing at the beginning of the	music
00:08:16-	09		(0.7)	catwalk	
00:09:92					
05	10	ML	tu es einfach ANna;	Medium shot, three other	barely audible
1,48 Sec			just do it Anna	contestants in the center of the	music
00:09:92-	11		(0.3)	image	
00:11:40					

The three other contestants are spectators placed on the opposite side of the rooftop (Fig. 11). While watching the scene from afar, they advise Anna-Lena to start her walk quickly and without thinking about it. Meanwhile, the other contestants are mirroring Anna-Lena's emotions (Fig. 12). Their behavior conveys emotional involvement and empathy supported by close-ups of their facial expressions which function as sincere authentication in between the depictions of Anna-Lena's hesitation to start the challenge. However, their apparent empathy and well-intentioned advice obviously contrast with Anna-Lena's experience of a high-altitude catwalk. Because of these juxtaposed attitudes and the modes of staging in this scene, the advices of the other contestants could as well be read as blaming Anna-Lena for not having enough self-control to accomplish the task more easily. Likewise, their advices frame Anna-Lena's challenge as easy and a potential failure as particularly humiliating. Their immediate reactions thus remain ambivalent oscillating between empathy especially expressed through the facial expressions and rivalry. Their verbal comments, which were filmed afterward but montaged in between showing Anna-Lena's struggle, further specify the ambivalence of their reactions (extract 3).





Fig. 11: the other contestants

Fig. 12: reverse-shot: empathy, mirroring emotions

Excerpt 3: I wouldn't have expected that either

Shot/ Duration	Verh	al and	embodied conduct	Image content and televisual staging	Sound
12	24	AL	*< <voiced> °h hhh°></voiced>	Medium Shot, center AL,	Music:
4 Sec			*cries>	struggling with tears	tense,
00:26:44-	25	ML	(.) anna hat mir total LEidgetan-		dramatic
00:30:44	26		I felt so sorry for Anna wie sie da oben stand und geWEInt hat;*		
	26		how she stood up there crying		
		al			
13	27	ML	und mit einem mal LOSgeweint hat;	Medium shot, ML left in	Music:
2,16 Sec			and suddenly started crying	the image, gives a	tense,
00:30:44-	28		°h hat mir so LEID	statement	dramatic
00:32:60			I felt so	Insert: Marie Luise, 21	
11	-	ML	*getan;	Madium shat Allaft in the	Music
14 3,96 Sec		ML	sorry	Medium shot, Al left in the image, struggling with	Music: tense,
00:32:60-		al	*cries>	tears	dramatic
00:35:56	29		ich hätt auch nich damit geRECHnet;		
			I wouldn't have expected that either		
	30		(0.5)		
	31		dass dass anna*		
		a T	that that Anna		
15	+	al ML	(0.3) da so so ANGST hat;	Medium shot, ML left in	Music:
1.12 Sec			would be so so afraid	the image, gives a	tense,
00:35:56-				statement	dramatic
00:36:68					
16	32	AL	*< <tearful> °h hh° °h>*</tearful>	Long shot, AL standing	Music:
2,76 Sec			*contracted body posture*	on catwalk; struggeling	tense,
00:36:68-				with tears, trying to start	dramatic
00:39:44				the walk; a technician	
17	22	3.7	+< <p>+<</p>	sitting behind her	Music
17 3.32 Sec	33	AL	*< <tearful> hhho* *cries>*</tearful>	Medium shot, AL in the center, struggeling with	Music: tense,
00:39:44-	34		*(0.8) *	tears	dramatic
00:42:76			*covers mouth with hand, eyes closed*		
	35		*°hh < <blowing> hhh°>> *</blowing>		
			deeply breathes in and out		
	36	L	also s war wie so ne blocKAde eigentlich		
			bei ihr;		
			well it was like she couldn't bring herself to do it		
18	37		sie HAT;	L left in the image, gives a	Music:
2,4 Sec			she has	statement	tense,
00:42:76-	38		(0.3)	Insert: Lisa, 16	dramatic
00:45:16	39		es GING einfach nich-		
	1 40		it just didn't work		
	40		egal wie sie sich (0.3) no matter how she		
19	+	L	*beMÜHT,	Medium shot, AL trying	Music:
4.88 Sec		-	tries	to start the walk; a	tense,
00:45:16-		al	*resolute face>	technician standing behind	dramatic
00:50:04	41	L	es KLAPPT nich-	her	
			it doesn't work		
	42	AL	(1.9) *		
	43		>* *< <f> *oh:: GO:::tt-> °h*</f>		
	43		oh god		
			*stops angrily *		
	44	A	(.) ich glaub man		
			I think you		
20		A	kriegt einfach so ne blockAde im kopf,	A left in the image, gives a	Music:
1,56 Sec			just can't bring yourself to do it	statement	tense,
00:50:04-					dramatic
00:51:60	45	A	*un das kann ma einfach dann nich mehr	Extreme Close-up: Feet in	Music:
21 4,72 Sec	45	A	run das kann ma einfach dann hich mehr Lösen;	high heels, taking a	Music: tense,
00:51:60-			and you just can't do anything about it	cautious step forwards, and	dramatic
00:56:32		al	*trembling legs, step forward>	then again backwards	
	46		dann laufen die BEIne nicht °hh,	Camera tracks upwards	
			then the legs don't walk	along the legs	
	47		und es GEHT* nich;*		
	1		and it doesn't work		
	1	al	>* *step backwards		





Fig. 13–15: other contestants giving statements

In the interview scenes, portray-like shots present the verbal statements of the other contestants in a typical reality-TV-style. They are filmed against a neutral background showing the significant *GNTM*-logo, the insert, again showing the logo, identifies each contestant by name and age (Fig. 13–15). Marie-Luise (ML) describes her wonder about Anna-Lena because, regarding Anna-Lena's attitude, she did not expect her having to struggle in completing the task (line 29–31). In this way, Marie-Luise's statement highlights a discrepancy between Anna-Lena's self-presentation (in the past) and her actual performance in the catwalk challenge. The statement as well indicates that Anna-Lena is not able to live up to her previously displayed self-image, the later comments of the judges put even more emphasis on this aspect (extract 4, lines 72–75). Furthermore, the other contestants disambiguate the visually conveyed events in their verbal statements. They label Anna-Lena's state as either being afraid (line 31), her visible conduct as "crying" (lines 26, 27), or their personal attitude towards her as empathy (lines 25, 28).

The other contestants as well introduce explanatory key concepts, such as "just can't bring yourself to do it" (lines 36, 44) or the metaphor of "the legs don't walk" (line 46). Corresponding shots (Fig. 16, 17) amplify these statements and subsequently create an (audio-)visual leitmotif for the complete GNTM-episode which is particularly evident in contestant Alex's (A) two-time use of

"just can't bring herself/yourself to do it" (lines 36, 44). Here, the German expression 'eine Blockade im Kopf haben' means 'having something actively blocking you in your head', or literally 'having a blockade.' Anna-Lena as well uses this metaphorical expression in her retrospective statement when looking back on her experience of the high-altitude catwalk (not part of the excerpts). The final comments of the other contestants address Anna-Lena's struggling within a discourse of body control emphasizing a resistance of the body or body parts (the legs) against its 'owner' (Anna-Lena).





Fig. 16, 17: legs and feet



Fig. 18: the judges

Excerpt 4: She usually has such a big mouth

Shot/ Duration		3000	embodied conduct	Image content and televisual staging	Sound
23	50	TR	=die hat toTAle angst die anna;	Medium long shot, judges in	Music:
4,64 Sec			Anna is totally frightened	the center, watching AL; TR	tense, dramatic
00:59:00- 01:03:64	51		nee das (.) nee dat find ich jetz aber grade ma grade NICH so gut;	takes a megaphone from TH	
01.03.04			no that no I really don't like this		
			right now		
24	52		*(1.6)	Medium shot, AL in the	Music:
3,28 Sec			*cries	center, struggling with tears	tense, dramatic
01:03:64- 01:06:92	53	AL	*< <tearful> hho>*</tearful>		
01:00:92	54	TR	schatziLEI:::N,		
			darling		
25	55	TR	(1.0)	Medium long shot, judges in	Music:
4,6 Sec	56		wenn du das NICH k-	the center, TR speaks to AL	tense, dramatic
01:06:92- 01:10:52	57		<pre>if you c- NICH machen möchtest-</pre>	using megaphone	
01.10.52	"		don't want to do this		
	58		dann machst dus bitte NICHT;		
			then please don't do it		
• (59		(0.3)	76 11 1 1 1 1 1	
26 3.04 Sec	60	AL	*ich WI:LLS ja schaffen- I want to make it	Medium shot, AL in the center, talking to TR, points	Music: tense, dramatic
01:10:52-			*smiles>	to where she dares to go up	tense, dramatic
01:13:56	61		aber ich trau mich nich weiter als DA:;*	to; a technician standing	
			but I don't dare to go further than up	behind her	
			to here		
27	62		(2.0)	Lang shot high angle	Music:
2.8 Sec	02		(2.0)	Long shot, high-angle framing, view down from	tense, dramatic
01:13:56-				the skyscraper to the	tense, dramatic
01:16:36				ground; Special effects:	
				blurred vision; Camera tilts	
	ļ.,			downwards	
28	63	- 7	* (3.6)	Medium long shot, AL semi-	Music:
3,68 Sec 01:16:36-		al	* raises hands, focuses *	profile, preparing for task ; a technician in the	threatening
01:10:30-				background	
29	64	AL	* (5.7)	Extreme long shot, AL left	Music:
5,76 Sec		al	*slowly approaching the catwalk *	in the background, starts to	threatening
01:20:04-				walk onto the catwalk,	
01:25:80				Crane shot: camera zooms in	
30	65		(0.6)	along the catwalk to AL Medium shot, AL in the	Music:
2.36 Sec	66	AL	*< <voiced, fearful=""> hhho ohh>*</voiced,>	center, struggling with task	threatening
01:25:80-		al	*raises hands, frightens>*		
01:28:16	67	AL	(.) < <pp> m ></pp>		
31	68		(1.4)	Long shot, Al in semi-profile	Music:
6,4 Sec	69		*m::: hh° °hh	left in the image, slight	threatening,
01:28:16- 01:34:56	70		*steps backwards, contracted posture> (2.3)	bottom view, AL stepping backwards a bit	tense
01.54.50	71	AL	ich KANN das* *ni::ch;	Crane shot: camera moves	
			I can't do that	back, upwards and away	
		al	>* *turns around	from AL	
32	72	TR	< <p> also ich DENK;</p>	Medium shot, judges in the	Music:
4,88 Sec	73		well I think	center, watching AL and	threatening,
01:34:56- 01:39:44	73		<pre>also ich hä- ich bin> ganz verWUNdert; well I would I am really surprised</pre>	talking to each another	tense
01.33.74	74		°h dass sies nicht MACHT;		
			that she won't do it		
	75		weil die hat sonst immer so ne große		
			SCHNAUze,		
33	76		because she usually has such a big mouth * h verSTEHST du-	Long shot, AL left in the	Music:
3,8 Sec	/ 0		you know	image, walking back	tense, dramatic
01:39:44-		al	*turns around, walks back	Crane shot: camera moves	cinse, diamatic
01:43:24	77		und WILL immer;	forward and to the left	
			and always wants to	(following AL)	
	78		un MACHT immer;		
	79		and always does und ich bin		
	13		I am really		
	1		ganz durcheiNANder;	Medium shot, judges in the	Music:
34					
34 2,16 Sec			confused	center, watching AL and	tense, dramatic
	80		<pre>confused dass sie das grad nich MACHT; that she won't do it right now</pre>	talking to each another	tense, dramatic

The judges, sitting farther away on a roof top, likewise comment on Anna-Lena's struggle with the catwalk performance. The staging suggests that they confidentially talk to each other without being heard by any of the co-present participants (Fig. 18) which is crucial as the judges can be understood as significant others having the power to decide over the success of the contestants. Before addressing Anna-Lena, the judges engage in a conspiratorial-like conversation in which they point out her possible failure. Thomas Rath (TR) describes Anna-Lena' fear as her main problem and expresses his discomfort about her struggle to Thomas Hayo (TH) (lines 50, 51). He then directly addresses Anna-Lena by talking into a megaphone. Initially his advice "If you don't want to do this, then please don't do it" (lines 57, 58) appears to be based on empathy. However, Thomas Rath later again expresses his surprise to co-judge Thomas Hayo about Anna-Lena refusing the task (excerpt 4, lines 72-80). In this way, by using the proverbial expression "has such a big mouth" (line 75), he creates a discrepancy between her earlier ambitious self-presentation and her present poor performance.

Even more interesting is Thomas Rath's shift of modal verbs while initially addressing Anna-Lena and her struggle (lines 54–59). He starts by saying "if you c..." (wenn du das nich k..., line 56), however, when he realizes that he is about to confirm Anna-Lena's mental and physical inability to start walking, he aborts his sentence. Instead of saying "can't (do it)" he switches to saying "(if you) don't want to do it" (nich machen möchtest, line 57). He therefore reframes Anna-Lena's struggle by exchanging the modal verb can with the modal verb want. This marks a decisive moment within this high-altitude catwalk scene because Thomas Rath redefines the motivational basis of Anna-Lena's behavior: 'can' is a reasonable explanation of Anna-Lena blaming 'the body' for her failure, but 'want' ascribes her struggle to her apparent lack of willpower to manage the task. Anna-Lena opposes Thomas Rath's interpretation of her behavior by emphasizing her willpower in replying "I want to make it, but I don't dare to go further than up to here" (lines 60, 61). This interaction between Anna-Lena and Thomas Rath demonstrates how in GNTM advice and help that are initially

offered by the judges turn out to be strategic moves to stage Anna-Lena's emotional and mental battle as a possible lack of her will and self-overcoming.

Overall, the actions, reactions, and comments of the other participants illustrate that this scene primarily deals with Anna-Lena overcoming her fear rather than with her exercising or performing a catwalk. The shifting of the focus from a professional working situation to a challenge-like situation happens due to the overall framing of the show as a casting format. In the end, Anna-Lena succeeds to master the challenge. In *her retrospective statements* she labels the previous staging of her inner state as 'being scared' and uses key interpretations, such as 'can't bring oneself to do it', respectively the German metaphors of literally 'being blocked' and 'the legs which refused to walk'. Her statements again indicate the momentum of the physical body and therefore oppose the interpretation of her struggle as being a motivational issue.

The *televisual staging* of Anna-Lena's catwalk mainly uses close-ups of her facial expressions to authenticate her fear and despair (fig. 1, 5–7). Point-of-view-shots, in combination with special effects, imply that Anna-Lena's perception appears to be distorted in this stressful situation (Fig. 19). Close-ups of her feet and legs highlight the momentum of an uncontrollable body (Fig. 16, 17). Slow motion technique marks Anna-Lena's aborting of her first attempt as the key aspect of the scene. Then, in the following dramatic seconds, the number of cuts increases. As one aspect of audiovisual montage, visual events and verbal comments are tied together more closely. For example, the visual event stays visible as it is commented on and close-ups present verbally articulated body parts, such as 'legs' to create audiovisual references (Fig. 16).



Fig. 19: Implying distorted perception

In addition, Anna-Lena's acousmatic³ whining and heavy breathing is included in all shots to manifest her struggle in terms of tonal physical expressions of the body. The music as well significantly changes when Anna-Lena finally starts her high-altitude catwalk. The previous threatening score is replaced by the pan flute motif of *The Lonely Shepherd* by Gheorghe Zamfir & James Last (1977) which frames Anna-Lena's performance as a heroic act of overcoming her fear (not part of the excerpts).

This first case study demonstrates how the physical body opposes its 'owner', especially in moments of apparent failure, and therefore withdraws from any intended control. However, as shown in the detailed analysis of this high-altitude catwalk scene, the actual challenge is not the catwalk itself, but for the contestant to regain control of her body and to overcome her fear. In this context, the staging illustrates that and how bodily expressions are used to verify mediated events.

Case Study II: Balloon Photo Shoot

This second case demonstrates that the previously analyzed staging of bodily expressions does not always work out in televisual media contexts. In the following example, taken from GNTM season 9 in 2014, the staging strategies are similar to the ones in the high-altitude catwalk; however, they do not unfold. Contestant Steffi has to perform a photo shooting with large balloons while she is hanging high up in the air from a crane. In this example, bodily reactions and hence the visible basis for authenticating a loss of control and a successful self-overcoming are only weakly pronounced. There are no physical indicators of fear; instead fear is only verbally emphasized by Steffi and the judges.

Before the start of the balloon challenge, Steffi's statements claiming to be afraid prospectively function to create a certain expectation. After the shooting, her statements reframe and confirm her overcoming of fear. Throughout the challenge, Steffi as well verbalizes her unwillingness to fulfill the balloon shooting task and her concern of a possible fall. Yet, her

³ The term *acousmatic* describes a sound whose source is not visible in the moment of perceiving it (Chion, 1994).

statements, as well as the mirroring reactions of the other candidates, appear to only slightly support her expressed emotional state. Steffi does not show any physical reactions to match her verbal claims. All indicators identified in the first case study are missing: No heavy breathing, no tearful voice, no corresponding facial expressions, no shiver or shock. Therefore, no threat to lose control and no challenge to overcome any threatening situation can be identified.

This scene as well does not provide any dramatic increase or climax. Steffi's attempts to resist the challenge appear to be half-hearted and the danger of maybe having to quit seems very small. Her consistently calm attitude and, in particular, her relaxed and somewhat laughing facial expressions evidently contrast her verbal expressions of fear (Fig. 20) – in the excerpts, "#" marks the exact point of the corresponding still image.



03 die größte Angst ist für mich einfach die dass ich abstürzen könnte und wie der <<:-)>#AUFprall wäre;> my biggest fear is simply that I could crash and how the impact would be



- 20 ich WILL nich;#
 I don't want to
- 21 (0.6)
- 22 ich WILL nich;
 I don't want to



- 32 *MAmi?#
 mommy
 *cheeping voice, giggles, holds ropes
 tightly --->*
- 33 <<p>hehe>HE; * ---->*



42 <<f>ich hab so angst HEIdi:;#

I'm so afraid, Heidi

(.) ich hab HÖhena:ngst;>

I suffer from fear of hights

Fig. 20: facial expressions and verbal conduct

Concerning the aspect of fear, there is as well a number of *detailed inconsistencies* in Steffi's performance: She does not resist moving into starting position and gladly cooperates without complaining. As soon as she is in the air, her apparent fear has vanished as she laughs and immediately begins to pose (Fig. 21). There is hardly any transition from initial anxiety to getting used to the task. Furthermore, when Heidi Klum instructs Steffi *"to feel good and be funny"* and to throw down her wig, Steffi immediately starts to scream, laugh, and wildly move around in the air. Therefore, Steffi does not only manage the task, she overachieves it (Fig. 22). Her rough shift from fear to cheerfulness and easiness appears random and too simple. She is at no point struggling with herself and there are no corresponding body reactions to support the apparent anxiety Steffi previously expressed.

These contrasts between visual and verbal claims result in contradictory information based on so called *channel discrepancies*, for example, saying one is scared while laughing at the same time (Fig. 20). The fact that fear is only verbally claimed but hardly verified through bodily expressions shows that staged situations, such as the balloon photo shoot, imply only little authenticity if the general arrangement does not offer anticipations of physical reactions and emotions.

As a result, the assumed anxiety of the contestants primarily appears as a construct of televisual staging. This explains the rough shift from staging anxiety to focusing on cheerfulness at

the end of the balloon photo shoot (Fig. 22). For competitive reality shows, such as *GNTM*, this changing of the staging and the 'story' appears to be common if either of these elements cannot be guaranteed as planned by the actual authentic events while filming. The balloon photo shoot illustrates how the intended story of 'the anxious girl who defeats her fears' did not work out due to Steffi's lack of fear and her inability to believably pretend it.





Fig. 21: Posing in the air

Fig. 22: Overachieving the task

Conclusion

The two analyses illustrate that reality TV relies and as well depends on bodily reactions to authenticate its intended representations. The main strategy is to recreate the same controllable staged situations which prove(d) to be suitable for eliciting physical reactions and emotions which in turn are hard to control for the contestants. Provoking difficult-to-control emotions and corresponding physical reactions, such as fear, serves to portray the given task, in our case a catwalk and a photoshoot, as a challenge with a potential failure. In this kind of a staged scenario overcoming their fear is the only reasonable solution for the contestants. This generally resembles a heroic story, as shown in the Anna-Lena example, which becomes more and more meaningful the bigger the challenge is and the greater the effort to overcome it. Both aspects depend on the intensity and quality of physical reactions. These in turn are constructed by the show based on strategies of elicitation and, if successful, on the contestant's side by authenticity markers of a real problem and its possible solution.

GNTM obviously creates a situational framework in which the televisual staging itself produces the phenomenon that it presents as the 'problem' in the presented situation. Casting

shows are usually built on two frames: a professional frame, in the example of *GNTM*, people 'doing' fashion and model business, for example, catwalks and photoshoots, and a televisual frame in which TV producers design and stage a reality show to attract an audience.

Regarding a claim to 'the real' and to achieving authentic drama and amusement, televisual staging frequently uses similar tools, such as close-ups of the face to indicate the emotional state, acousmatic sounds to ensure physicality, or parallel montages of reaction shots to mirror and intersubjectify emotions. As a result, these ways of staging highlight and enhance bodily reactions, for example of fear, or of the actual overcoming of fear. Furthermore, less tangible aspects, especially contextual features, previous events and the process of overcoming fear are framed and thus disambiguated by on-comments and off-comments as well as by music to emphasize and dramatize certain scenes. The strategies of staging stressful situations illustrated in the two case studies are essential features within the television genre of reality TV. They demonstrate in which way the eliciting of emotions functions as a key to attractive programming which, in this case, is rooted in controlled use of staging and in the physical realness of the contestants. Rather deviant cases, such as the balloon photo shoot, exemplify how important but as well how standardized these forms and strategies of staging have become as they are kept up even if they do not really work out.

References

Andrejevic, M. (2004). Reality TV. The Work of Being Watched. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield.

Chion, M. (1994). Audio-vision: sound on screen. New York: Columbia University Press.

Corner, J. (2002). 'Performing the Real'. *Television and New Media*, 3 (3), 255–270.

Deppermann, A. (2013). Multimodal interaction from a conversation analytic perspective. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 46, 1–7.

Dubrofsky, R. (2009). Fallen Women in Reality TV. A pornography of emotion. *Feminist Media Studies*, 9 (3), 353–368.

Goffman, E. (1959). The presentation of self in everyday life. New York: Anchor Books.

- Goffman, E. (1981a). Footing. In E. Goffman, *Forms of Talk* (124–159). Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Goffman, E. (1981b): Response Cries. In E. Goffman, *Forms of Talk* (78–122), Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Grindstaff, L. (2002). *The Money Shot. Trash, Class, and the Making of TV Talk Shows*. Chicago: University Press.
- Hearn, A. (2014). Producing "Reality": Branded Content, Branded Selves, Precarious Futures. In L. Ouellette (Ed.), *A Companion to Reality Television* (437–455). Oxford: Wiley.
- Hill, A. (2005). Reality TV: Audiences and Popular Factual Television. London: Routledge.
- Holmes, S., & Jermyn, D. (2004). Introduction. In S. Holmes, & D. Jermyn (Ed.), *Understanding reality television* (1–32). London: Routledge.
- Kavka, M. (2014). Reality TV. Edinburgh: University Press.
- Keppler, A. (2006). *Mediale Gegenwart. Eine Theorie des Fernsehens am Beispiel der Darstellung von Gewalt*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Kilborn, R. (2003). Staging the real: factual TV programming in the age of Big Brother. Manchester: University Press.
- Mondada, L. (2008). Using Video for a Sequential and Multimodal Analysis of Social Interaction:

 Videotaping Institutional Telephone Calls [88 paragraphs]. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung*, 9

 (3), article 39.
- Mondada, L. (2014). Conventions for multimodal transcription. Accessed from: https://mainly.sciencesconf.org/conference/mainly/pages/Mondada2013_conv_multimodality_c opie.pdf (12.02.2018).
- Ouellette, L., & Murray, S. (2009). Introduction. In L. Ouellette, & S. Murray (Eds.), *Reality TV:***Remaking Television Culture (1–20). New York: New York University Press.

- Rasmussen, T. (2000). Construction of Authenticity. Television talk as situated interaction. In G. Hallenberger, & H. Schanze (Eds.), *Live is Life. Mediale Inszenierungen des Authentischen* (47–67). Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Selting, M., Auer, P., Barth-Weingarten, D., Bergmann, J., Bergmann, P., Birkner, K., ... Uhmann, S. (2011). A system for transcribing talk-in-interaction: GAT 2. Translated and adapted for English by Elizabeth Couper-Kuhlen and Dagmar Barth-Weingarten. Gesprächsforschung Online-Zeitschrift zur verbalen Interaktion, 12, 1–51.
- Streeck, J., Goodwin, C., & LeBaron, C. (Eds.) (2011). *Embodied interaction. language and body in the material world*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Transcription conventions

Abbreviations for interactants

Catwalk		TR	Thomas Rath (judge)
AL	Anna lena (contestant)	TH	Thomas Hayo (judge)

CO Choreographer

ML Marie-Luise (contestant) Ballon shooting

L Lisa (contestant) S Steffi (contestant)

A Alex (contestant)

Verbal transcription following conventions according to Selting et al. (2011)

Compilation of used conventions

```
°h / h° in-/outbreaths
(.) micro pause
```

(1.9) measured pause in sec.

fast, immediate continuation with a new turn or segment (latching)

: lengthening SYLlable focus accent

Final pitch movements of intonation phrases

? rising to high
, rising to mid
- level
: falling to mid

falling to mid falling to low

[] overlap and simultaneous talk

haha, hehe, hihi syllabic laughter

((laughs)), ((cries)) description of laughter and crying

<laughter particles accompanying speech with indication of scope

((coughs)) non-verbal vocal actions and events

<<coughing> > with indication of scope () unintelligible passage

<<surprised> > interpretive comment with indication of scope

<<:-)> so> smile voice

 <<f>>
 forte, loud

 <<p>>
 piano, soft

<<p>>> pianissimo, very soft

Multimodal transcriptions following conventions according to Mondada (2014).

Compilation of used conventions

Multimodal Details

- are in grey
- are described in the following line (without a separate segment number)
- are *synchronized* with talk/pauses using *actor-specific symbols* (e.g. *, + etc.) indicating the *beginning* and the *end* of body movements
- continued actions and events

---> Action described continues across subsequent lines --->* indicates end when reaching the same symbol